**Haley Property**

Figures 14-17 show schematically the alternative land classifications, long-term boundaries, and Facilities Concepts under consideration for the Haley Property. Unlike the other parks and properties, surplus is being considered at the Haley Property. This is because the topography within State Parks’ current ownership is difficult, making safe and sustainable trail connections between the uplands and the beach very costly, and State Parks has been unable to secure an easement to allow for easier access. For this reason, two of the alternatives consider surplusing the uplands in order to acquire other property with fewer access limitations.
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**Jarrell Cove State Park**

Figures 18-21 show schematically the alternative land classifications, long-term boundaries, and Facilities Concepts under consideration for Jarrell Cove State Park.
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Harstine Island State Park, McMicken Island State Park, & Scott Property

Figures 22-25 show schematically the alternative land classifications and long-term boundaries under consideration for the Harstine Island State Park, McMicken Island State Park, and the Scott Property. Alternative Facilities Concepts were not prepared for these parks because it is recommended that they undergo a more substantial master planning process.
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**Hope Island State Park**

Figures 26-28 show schematically the alternative land classifications under consideration for Hope Island State Park. Hope Island, unlike the other parks in the area, already has a completed Classification and Management Plan. Its existing land classifications are shown in Figure 26. A revision is necessary because the caretaker’s house is not allowed in a Resource Recreation Area, so a Recreation Area classification is needed for this existing facility. The Building Regional Heritage and Community alternative also considers making this Recreation Area encompass the grassy field surrounding the caretaker’s house. This would allow for more intensive recreational uses in this area, like a picnic shelter.
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